

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PERFECT 10, INC.,

Plaintiff,

No. C 12-01521 WHA

v.

YANDEX N.V., a Netherlands limited
liability company; YANDEX INC., a
Delaware corporation; and YANDEX LLC,
a Russian limited liability company,

**ORDER DENYING
MOTION TO SEAL**

Defendants.

On July 24, plaintiff Perfect 10 filed an administrative motion to seal Perfect 10's reply brief to motion for sanctions and exhibit 1 to the declaration of Eric J. Bennet (Dkt. No. 205). The motion is **DENIED**.

Civil Local Rule 79-5(d) states that within seven days of filing an administrative motion, the designating party "must file with the Court and serve a declaration establishing that the designated information is sealable, and must lodge a narrowly tailored proposed sealing order, or must withdraw the designation of confidentiality." Seven days have come and gone and Yandex — the designating party — has not filed and served a declaration.

Pursuant to civil local rule 79-5(d), therefore, Perfect 10's reply and exhibit 1 to the declaration of Eric J. Bennet shall become part of the public record.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 6, 2013.

WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE